House Resolution 1714

By: Representative Franklin of the 43rd

A RESOLUTION

- 1 Bringing charges of impeachment against Shawn LaGrua; and for other purposes.
- 2 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua, who in her capacity as a legal services officer for the State of
- 3 Georgia, presently holds the title of Inspector General for the Office of the Secretary of State;
- 4 and
- 5 WHEREAS, in her conduct while in the capacities of Solicitor General of DeKalb County
- 6 and as the Legal Services Officer for the Secretary of State, she has violated her
- 7 constitutional oath to faithfully discharge her duties and, to the best of her ability, preserve,
- 8 protect, and defend the Constitution of the State of Georgia, and violated her constitutional
- 9 duty to take care that the laws be faithfully executed by committing the abuses of power set
- 10 forth in this resolution; and
- 11 WHEREAS, beginning on July 7, 2004, as a DeKalb County Assistant District Attorney,
- 12 Shawn LaGrua initiated a criminal investigation against a DeKalb County Solicitor's Office
- 13 investigator, Guy Antinozzi, without authorization to do so from District Attorney Brickman
- or Chief Assistant District Attorney Petrey, as would be required of an officer sworn to
- uphold their oath and obligations under O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-1 and other statutes; and
- 16 WHEREAS, from July 7 to July 13, 2004, Shawn LaGrua conducted said criminal
- 17 investigation against DeKalb Solicitor's Office investigator Guy Antinozzi without the
- 18 knowledge or approval of the Solicitor General of DeKalb County (pro tempore) Baig and
- in violation of her oath and obligations under O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-1 and other statutes;
- 20 and
- 21 WHEREAS, from July 7 to July 13, 2004, Shawn LaGrua initiated surveillance of DeKalb
- 22 Solicitor's Office investigator Guy Antinozzi without the knowledge or approval of the
- 23 Solicitor General of DeKalb County (pro tempore) Baig and in violation of her oath and
- obligations under O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-1 and other statutes; and

25 WHEREAS, the investigation initiated by Shawn LaGrua improperly alleged, in violation

- of O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-20 and other statutes, that Guy Antinozzi was not authorized to
- 27 work a flex time schedule although he was authorized to work such a schedule by former
- 28 Solicitor General Gwen Keyes-Fleming; and
- 29 WHEREAS, the investigation initiated by Shawn LaGrua improperly alleged, in violation
- 30 of O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-20 and other statutes, that Guy Antinozzi did not enter the
- 31 number of hours worked correctly on his time sheet, although both his supervisor,
- 32 Ms. Petersen, and the Solicitor General's Legal Office Coordinator, Ms. Lindsey, confirmed
- 33 that he entered his time as instructed; and
- 34 WHEREAS, the investigation initiated by Shawn LaGrua improperly alleged, in violation
- of O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-20 and other statutes, that Guy Antinozzi did not work the
- 36 number of hours per week that he entered on his time sheet, although all of his supervisors
- 37 confirmed that his work product and job performance excelled above and beyond what they
- 38 expected; and
- 39 WHEREAS, the investigation initiated by Shawn LaGrua improperly alleged, in violation
- of O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-20 and other statutes, that Guy Antinozzi did not work 26.5 hours
- 41 during specific weekdays but failed to investigate and determine whether or not
- 42 Mr. Antinozzi worked flex time hours during that same period; and
- 43 WHEREAS, the Fulton County District Attorney's office investigation concluded that Shawn
- 44 LaGrua never confronted Mr. Antinozzi with the allegations raised in said criminal
- 45 investigation against him as would reasonably be expected of any officer sworn to uphold
- 46 their oath of office according to O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-20; and
- 47 WHEREAS, after becoming the Solicitor General of DeKalb County, Shawn LaGrua
- 48 terminated Guy Antinozzi as a result of the foregoing false allegations and in violation of
- 49 O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-20; and
- 50 WHEREAS, after becoming the Solicitor General of DeKalb County, Shawn LaGrua
- 51 terminated Guy Antinozzi as a result of the foregoing false allegations without ever
- 52 discussing concerns about his work performance or work schedule with any of his
- 53 supervisors and without regard to her obligations under O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-1, O.C.G.A.
- 54 Section 16-10-20, and other statutes; and

55 WHEREAS, her criminal investigation and action to terminate Mr. Antinozzi were

- 56 performed under false pretenses in violation of O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-20 and other statutes
- 57 in that they conflict with the statements of all witnesses who supervised Mr. Antinozzi
- 58 including those from Chief Investigator Heard who stated that "he always responded in a
- 59 timely manner" and Ms. Petersen who wrote "nothing supersedes the consistent and high
- 60 quality of work he is assigned"; and
- 61 WHEREAS, criminal allegations of deception in said investigation against Mr. Guy
- 62 Antinozzi are false and in violation of O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-20 and other statutes and
- 63 fail according to law because Mr. Antinozzi was not the beneficiary of any property as
- required by O.C.G.A. Section 16-8-3 and O.C.G.A. Section 16-8-12(a)(3); and
- 65 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua conducted the criminal investigation against Guy Antinozzi
- 66 motivated by factors other than criminal conduct as indicated by the Fulton County District
- 67 Attorney investigation into her activities, and said investigation violated her oath of office
- as prescribed by O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-1; and
- 69 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua had political motivation to conduct an improper investigation
- 70 in conflict with her oath of office as prescribed by O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-1 and other
- statutes because Mr. Antinozzi worked for Gwen Keys-Fleming who resigned to run for the
- 72 office of District Attorney of DeKalb County against Mr. Brickman, Shawn LaGrua's
- 73 superior; and
- 74 WHEREAS, after becoming the Solicitor General of DeKalb County, Shawn LaGrua
- 75 terminated various other Domestic Violence Department employees on premises similar to
- 76 those used against Mr. Antinozzi and without regard to her obligations under O.C.G.A.
- 77 Section 16-10-1, O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-20, and other statutes; and
- 78 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office failed to determine in its investigation of State Election
- 79 Board Case No. 2008-000133 that her allegations of improper testing against voting machine
- 80 technician, Laura Gallegos, were not related to the inclusion of 947 test votes in actual 2008
- 81 Lowndes County election results as confirmed by state's witness James Long under oath in
- 82 cross examination at a January 14, 2010, administrative hearing in Valdosta; and
- WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office failed to investigate and identify in its December 10,
- 84 2008, report for State Election Board Case No. 2008-000133 who loaded the test votes into
- 85 the live election results on election night when Mrs. Gallegos was not present; and

86 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office failed to investigate and identify in its December 10,

- 87 2008, report for State Election Board Case No. 2008-000133 who failed to reconcile the total
- 88 number of votes cast from the statement of votes cast with the total number of voters from
- 89 the voter registration system to so that the voting discrepancy could have been detected prior
- 90 to certification; and
- 91 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office failed to consider in either or both State Election Board
- Case No. 2008-000133 and State Election Board Case No. 2010-000007 the validity of Mrs.
- 93 Gallegos claims that the complaint letter submitted against her by Lowndes County
- 94 Supervisor, Deb Cox, was in retaliation for two letters of complaint that Mrs. Gallegos had
- 95 written to the Lowndes County Board of Elections charging verbal abuse against Mrs. Cox;
- 96 and
- 97 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report for the
- 98 above-cited case that voting machine technician, Laura Gallegos, failed to thoroughly test
- machines used for absentee voting according to O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-379.7(b) when the
- statute places the legal burden for such testing on the superintendent; and
- 101 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that
- voting machine technician, Laura Gallegos, failed to thoroughly test machines used for
- absentee voting according to O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-379.7(b) although Deputy Inspector
- 104 General, Chris Harvey, admitted twice to the State Election Board in its June hearing that
- 105 Mrs. Gallegos followed her instructions accordingly; and
- 106 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that
- voting machine technician, Laura Gallegos, failed to thoroughly test machines used for
- absentee voting according to O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-379.7(b) although state's witness,
- 109 Mr. James Long, acknowledged when interviewed during the investigation and at the
- subsequent hearing that Mrs. Gallegos followed her instructions accordingly; and
- WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that
- voting machine technician, Laura Gallegos, failed to thoroughly test machines used for
- absentee voting according to O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-379.7(b) when the manual testing
- procedure steps 11-66 alleged not to be performed are not mandated by such Code section;
- 115 and

116 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that voting machine technician, Laura Gallegos, failed to thoroughly test machines used for 117 absentee voting according to O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-379.7(b) when the automatic testing 118 119 procedures that her office acknowledged as being performed meet the legal requirements of 120 such Code section; and 121 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that 122 voting machine technician, Laura Gallegos, failed to thoroughly test machines according to 123 O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-379.7(b) while failing to determine that Lowndes County did not require the alleged procedures to be performed; and 124 125 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that voting machine technician, Laura Gallegos, failed to thoroughly test machines used for 126 127 absentee voting according to O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-379.7(b) while failing to determine that Mrs. Gallegos tested the machines in accordance with the testing instructions that she was 128 129 given by Lowndes County; and 130 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that voting machine technician, Laura Gallegos, failed to thoroughly test machines thus resulting 131 132 in 947 test votes being included in live election results while failing to determine that a voting machine #17 malfunctioned, thus preventing any testing of the machine from being 133 134 completed; and WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that 135 136 voting machine technician, Laura Gallegos, failed to thoroughly test machines thus resulting 137 in 947 test votes being included in live election results while failing to determine that the discrepancy occurred when other individuals uploaded a memory card containing such test 138 votes and did not reconcile the statement of votes cast to the number of voters from the voter 139 registration system prior to certification as required by O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-493 and other 140 141 Code sections; and WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that 142 Laura Gallegos failed to perform all state mandated procedures for manual logic and 143 accuracy testing according to according SEB Rule 183-1-12.02(3)(b)(1)(iii) when the 144 145 procedure steps 11-66 alleged not to be performed are not mandated by such rule; and

146 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that Laura Gallegos failed to perform all state mandated procedures for logic and accuracy testing 147 148 according SEB Rule 183-1-12.02(3)(b)(1)(iii) when the automatic testing procedures that her 149 office acknowledged as being performed meet the legal requirements of such State Election 150 Board rule; and 151 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that voting 152 machine technician, Laura Gallegos, failed to perform all state mandated procedures for logic 153 and accuracy testing according SEB Rule 183-1-12.02(3)(b)(1)(iii) when the burden for performing those procedures rests with the superintendent as required by the associated Code 154 155 section, O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-379.7(b); and 156 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that Laura 157 Gallegos failed to perform all state mandated procedures for logic and accuracy testing according to SEB Rule 183-1-12.02(3)(b)(1)(ii) although Deputy Inspector General, Chris 158 159 Harvey, admitted twice to the State Election Board in its June hearing that Mrs. Gallegos 160 followed her instructions accordingly; and 161 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that Laura 162 Gallegos failed to perform all state mandated procedures for logic and accuracy testing according to SEB Rule 183-1-12.02(3)(b)(1)(ii) although state's witness, Mr. James Long, 163 164 acknowledged when interviewed during the investigation and at the subsequent hearing that 165 Mrs. Gallegos followed her instructions accordingly; and WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that Laura 166 167 Gallegos failed to perform all state mandated procedures for logic and accuracy testing according to SEB Rule 183-1-12.02(3)(b)(1)(ii) while failing to determine that Lowndes 168 County procedures did not require the foregoing procedures to be performed; and 169 170 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that Laura Gallegos failed to perform all state mandated procedures for logic and accuracy testing 171 according to SEB Rule 183-1-12.02(3)(b)(1)(ii) while failing to determine that Mrs. Gallegos 172 never received any such procedures from Lowndes County; and 173 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that 174 Laura Gallegos failed to perform all state mandated procedures for logic and accuracy testing 175 176 according to SEB Rule 183-1-12.02(3)(b)(1)(ii) while failing to determine that a voting

177 machine #17 malfunctioned, thus preventing any testing of the machine from being

- 178 completed; and
- 179 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that
- Laura Gallegos failed to perform all state mandated procedures for logic and accuracy testing
- thus resulting in 947 test votes being included in actual election results while failing to
- determine that the discrepancy occurred when other individuals uploaded a memory card
- 183 containing the said test votes and did not reconcile the statement of votes cast to the number
- of voters from the voter registration system prior to certification as required by O.C.G.A.
- 185 Section 21-2-493 and other Code sections; and
- 186 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that
- Laura Gallegos failed to make a certification according to SEB Rule 183-1-12.02(3)(b)(1)(iii)
- 188 when the rule places the burden for such certification on the superintendent, not a voting
- 189 machine technician; and
- 190 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua's office falsely alleged in its December 10, 2008, report that
- Laura Gallegos failed to make a certification according to SEB Rule 183-1-12.02(3)(b)(1)(iii)
- 192 although Deputy Inspector General, Chris Harvey, admitted twice to the State Election Board
- in its June hearing that Mrs. Gallegos followed her instructions accordingly; and
- 194 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua had political motivation to charge Mrs. Gallegos and not
- investigate Lowndes County supervisor, Deb Cox, as required by her oath and duties under
- 196 O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-1, O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-20, and other Code sections since
- 197 Mrs. Cox was well acquainted with Shawn LaGrua's superior, Secretary of State Karen
- 198 Handel; and
- 199 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed in State Election Board case No. 2008-00133 to
- 200 investigate and refer to the Attorney General's office the Lowndes County Board of Elections
- 201 for improperly certifying the results of the 2008 Lowndes County elections according to
- 202 O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-497 and other Code sections; and
- 203 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed in State Election Board case No. 2008-00133 to
- 204 investigate and refer to the Attorney General's office Lowndes County Elections Supervisor
- 205 Deb Cox for improperly certifying the results of the 2008 Lowndes County elections
- according to O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-497 and other Code sections; and

WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed in State Election Board case No. 2008-00133 to investigate and refer to the Attorney General's office the Lowndes County Board of Elections for failing to reconcile the statement of votes cast to the number of voters from the voter registration system prior to certification as required by O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-493(b) and other Code sections; and

- 212 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed in State Election Board case No. 2008-00133 to
- 213 investigate and refer to the Attorney General's office the Lowndes County Elections
- 214 Supervisor, Deb Cox, for failing to reconcile the statement of votes cast to the number of
- voters from the voter registration system prior to certification as required by O.C.G.A.
- 216 Section 21-2-493(b) and other Code sections; and
- 217 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed to investigate and refer Lowndes County Supervisor Deb
- 218 Cox as part of State Election Board case No. 2010-0007 for failing to administer the correct
- custodian oath according to O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-327(b) as previously found by Judge
- John Gatto in a January 14, 2010, hearing in Valdosta, Georgia; and
- WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed to investigate and refer Lowndes County Supervisor Deb
- 222 Cox as part of State Election Board case No. 2010-0007 for failing to administer the correct
- custodian oath according to SEB Rule 183-1-12.02(2)(g)(1) as previously found by Judge
- John Gatto in a January 14, 2010, hearing in Valdosta, Georgia; and
- 225 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed to investigate and determine after the aforesaid ruling and
- as part of State Election Board case No. 2010-0007 if other Lowndes County elections
- 227 personnel were administered the correct custodian oath as prescribed by O.C.G.A.
- 228 Section 21-2-327(b); and
- 229 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed to investigate and determine after the aforesaid ruling and
- as part of State Election Board case No. 2010-0007 if other Lowndes County elections
- personnel were administered the correct oaths as prescribed by O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-492,
- O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-584, and other statutes; and
- 233 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed at the February 2010 State Election Board meeting to
- 234 investigate and report on the allegations made by Laura Gallegos in State Election Board
- case No. 2010-00007 against her supervisor, Deb Cox, in the December 2009 letter Mrs.
- 236 Gallegos sent to the State Elections Board; and

237 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed in both of the investigations cited above to investigate and determine whether or not the Lowndes County supervisor established proper procedures for 238 logic and accuracy testing of voting machines used in Lowndes County as required by 239 240 O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-379.7(b); and WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed in both of the investigations cited above to investigate and 241 determine whether or not the Lowndes County supervisor established proper procedures for 242 243 logic and accuracy testing of voting machines used in Lowndes County as required by State 244 Election Board Rule 183-1-12.02(2)(g)(1); and 245 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed in both of the investigations cited above to investigate and 246 determine whether or not the Lowndes County supervisor distributed proper procedures for logic and accuracy testing of voting machines used in Lowndes County to voting machine 247 248 technicians as required by O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-379.7(b); and 249 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed in both of the investigations cited above to investigate and 250 determine whether or not the Lowndes County supervisor took the necessary steps to ensure 251 that proper procedures for logic and accuracy testing of voting machines were being 252 conducted in Lowndes County as required by O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-379.7(b); and 253 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed in both of the investigations cited above to investigate and 254 determine why the Lowndes County supervisor did not ensure that certification paperwork 255 alleged to be incomplete in State Election Board Case No. 2008-00133 was not completed in a timely manner as required by O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-379.7(b); and 256 257 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed in either or both of the investigations cited above to determine whether or not the First Christian Church precinct in Lowndes County was 258 properly equipped as required by law O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-323(b), O.C.G.A. 259 Section 21-2-596, and other Code sections; and 260 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua failed in either or both of the investigations cited above to 261 determine whether or not Lowndes County Elections Supervisor Deb Cox or other Lowndes 262 County Elections personnel were in violation of O.C.G.A. Section 21-2-596 as a result of 263 264 actions cited in the foregoing paragraphs; and WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua opened an investigation into the 2005 Cobb Special Purpose 265 266 Local Option Sales Tax (SPLOST) referendum based on complaints of discrepancies

267 received at a January, 2009, State Election Board meeting, but never contacted the party complaining of those discrepancies to gather information and subsequently recommended 268 that the investigation be closed without ever determining the reasons why the discrepancies 269 270 occurred and whether or not all other discrepancies had been identified, thus failing to fulfill 271 her oath of office as required by O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-1; and 272 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua replied that her office could not locate a complaint letter 273 forwarded to her by the Elections Director in January, 2009, after her office confirmed 274 several times that it was received; and 275 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua falsely claimed at a December, 2009, State Election Board 276 meeting that Jeff Rayno "submitted petitions that contained forged signatures" in disregard of her obligations under O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-20 and the Sixth Amendment of 277 278 Constitution of the United States; and 279 WHEREAS, Shawn LaGrua recommended at such December, 2009, State Election Board 280 meeting that Jeff Rayno be referred for a felony investigation involving forged petition 281 signatures but was unable to produce a signature that Mr. Rayno allegedly forged in 282 accordance with her obligations under O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-20 and the Sixth Amendment 283 of Constitution of the United States; and 284 WHEREAS, the foregoing articles demonstrate a misfeasance of duties that are contrary to Georgia law including, but not limited to, O.C.G.A. Section 16-10-1, O.C.G.A. 285 Section 16-10-20, and other statutes and demonstrate that Shawn LaGrua is thereby 286 unqualified to hold any public office; and 287 WHEREAS, the foregoing articles demonstrate a systemic malfeasance of governmental 288 offices in the wrongful and injurious exercise of lawful authority that violate the public trust 289 and demonstrate that Shawn LaGrua is thereby unqualified to hold any public office; and 290 291 WHEREAS, Article III, Section VII, Paragraph I of the Constitution provides that the House of Representatives shall have the sole power to vote impeachment charges against any 292 executive or judicial officer of this state. 293 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES that 294 this body upon adoption of this resolution and articles of impeachment does hereby bring 295 296 charges of impeachment against Shawn LaGrua.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Speaker of the House of Representatives is authorized and directed to appoint Managers of the charges of impeachment from the membership of the House of Representatives.

300 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Managers appointed by the Speaker of the House 301 of Representatives are authorized and directed to transmit this resolution and the articles of 302 impeachment to the Senate.